

MUSP Multi-User Shared Production POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 1

Cryogenics in Machining

Matteo Strano, Stefano Tirelli, Elio Chiappini,
Michele Monno, Quirico Semeraro, Emanuele Perazzoli




COSTRUIAMO INSIEME IL FUTURO





MUSP Multi-User Shared Production POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 3

Shallow cryogenic treatment (SCT)

The shallow cryo-treatment hardening effects are limited to the surface, and do not reach the core of the treated part.

- The piece is cooled down at -196 Celsius degrees for at least 5 hours, and then is brought back to room temperature
- Temperature must be precisely controlled



Cryo-thermal treatments can be performed both on WC tools and on HSS

MUSP Multi-User Shared Production POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 2

Cryogenic fluids in machining

Cryo-fluids (N_2 and CO_2) have 2 main employs:

- can be used as media for thermal treatments of tools and parts before machining
 - Shallow or Deep cryogenic treatment
- can be used as cooling agent while machining
 - Rough or finish turning, milling, grinding




MUSP Multi-User Shared Production POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 4


Deep cryogenic treatment (DCT)



Similar to the SHT, the effects on the part are deeper because of a longer exposition to cryo-temperatures:



- Cooling down of the piece to -196 Celsius degrees in $4 \div 10$ hours;
- Keeping of the piece at -196 Celsius degrees for $6 \div 40$ hours;
- Warming up of the piece to ambient temperature (or also above if another heat treatment is required) in $4 \div 10$ hours




Comparison between 2 inserts with same geometry:

- Insert 'L' – sintered WC with TiAlN coating ($4 \mu m$)
- Insert 'LC' – sintered WC with TiAlN coating ($4 \mu m$) and DCT treatment







Hypothesis for the production scenario - 1

- Turning center with **automatic tool change** and a **buffer with 4 slots**: it is assumed that the tool change is carried out for 3 worn cutting edges in the cutting time of the 4th tool

Phase 0 - Start Machining **Phase 1 - First Turned Rotation** **Phase 2 - Second Turned Rotation** **Phase 3 - Third Turned Rotation and Replacement of the 3 Worn Cutting Edges**

During production this sequence of steps cycles from phase 1 to 3

- Production lot of 10 pieces in Ti6Al4V with B2F of 8:1 for a volume (V) from the total removal of about 0.46 mm³
- Cost machine C_m equal to 130 €/h, cost of LN2 0.2 €/l and cost of lubro 0.17 €/h
- Fixed time 't₀' of 90 minutes and fixed cost C₀ of 200 €
- Cutting edge cost = 3 €/edge
- Time of cutting edge change (rotation of the insert or replacement) = 2 minutes

Production times in turning

Traditional machining optimal conditions
Minimum t_p at 52 m/min and 0.29 mm/rev
Time of production: 1659 min
Optimal T = 7.1 min

Cryogenic machining optimal conditions
Minimum t_p at 58 m/min and 0.29 mm/rev
Time of production: 1497 min
Optimal T = 7.1 min

In the optimal conditions, a production time compression of about 10% is achieved with cryo-machining

Hypothesis for the production scenario - 2

- This type of management (for not having long downtime) imposes a minimum life of the insert > or = to 6 minutes, because operator replaces all the 3 worn inserts (3x2 min) during phase n. 3
- Considering any hitches (quantified in a minute), it is assumed that the minimum life time 'T' for the insert must be 7 minutes to guarantee a continuous production. If 'T' is lower the production stops because all the four tools are failed for a certain period of time (7 - 'T' min)

If T > 7 minutes t_{cu}=0.1 min If T > 7 minutes t_{cu}=0.1 + (7 - T)

$$t_p = t_0 + \frac{V}{Z} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{t_{cu}}{T} \right)$$

$$C_p = C_0 + C_m \cdot \left[t_0 + \frac{V}{Z} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{t_{cu}}{T} \right) \right] + C_{ut} \cdot \frac{V}{Z \cdot T}$$

Production costs in turning


Traditional machining optimal conditions
Minimum C_p at 50 m/min and 0.3 mm/rev
Cost of production: 4411 €
Optimal T = 7.8 min



Cryogenic machining optimal conditions
Minimum C_p at 56 m/min and 0.3 mm/rev
Cost of production: 4254 €
Optimal T = 7.5 min

- In the optimal conditions, a production cost reduction of nearly 4% is achieved with cryo-machining*

MUSP POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 21

Cryogenic machining: other applications

- N₂ cryo-grinding
 

[IIT, Chennai]
- N₂ cryo-milling
 
- CO₂ cryo-milling
 

[Starrag - Walter]

MUSP POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 23

Alternative milling layouts

Internal delivery through the spindle:

Pros

- Clean machine design, no pipes in the working zone
- Milling head length is not increased, no risks of vibration

Cons

- Constructive difficulties due to passing insulated pipes through the rotating spindle, possibility of reliability problems
- Hard to integrate in old machines not designed for this task

Internal delivery through a slip ring:

Pros

- Suitable also for old machines with an adaptor (Slip ring);
- Cryogenic fluid does not pass near critical components (i.e. no effects on spindle bearings);


Cons

- Insulated pipes around the working area;
- Increased head length (bending under load)

MUSP POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 22

Cryogenic milling

- **3 layouts can be adopted:**
 - External flood cooling
 - Very simple, but cools down the work, too!
- Internal delivery through the spindle
- Internal delivery through a slip ring



MUSP POLITECNICO MILANO 1863 24

Conclusions

- Cryogenic machining can offer a significant improvement of tool life and reduce the environmental impact of machining, among other potential advantages
- Cooperation is required, for a successful implementation, among
 - R&D institutes,
 - Machine tool producers
 - Tool makers
 - Gas plant providers